

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/009,195	KOPKO, WILLIAM L.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Charles G. Freay	3746	

All Participants:

(1) Charles G. Freay.

(2) Vince DeLuca.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 2 February 2006
Time: 12:30 pm
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

77, 86 and 95

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner suggested positively claiming a controller as part of the supercharging subsystem so that the limitations with regard to how the supercharger were operated would clearly limit the claims. The applicant agreed to the changes as set forth in the examiner's amendment.